

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITY OF BOMBAY

**A MODIFIED HANNAN INEFFICIENT PROCEDURE FOR
CAUSAL SYSTEMS**

BY

D.M. NACHANE

WORKING PAPER NO 96/5.
JANUARY 1996

1 INTRODUCTION

A. Hannan Inefficient Procedure

An important approach to the analysis of distributed lag **systems** in economics stems from the seminal papers of Hannan (1963,1965,1967) based on multivariate spectral methods. The **three Major** characteristics of **these** frequency **domain methods** are:

(1) **No constraints** are placed on the coefficients of the **distributed** lags (as in the more traditional methods of **Koyck (1954), Dhrymes (1971), Almon (1965)** etc).

(2) **The residual** terms need not be serially independent and

(3) **The length of the distributed lag** need **not be specified a priori**.

The **discrete time** model considered by Hannan **was** of the form

$$Y(t) = \sum_{j=-p}^q b(j) X(t-j) + e(t) \quad (!)$$

Hannan assumed $Y(t)$ and $X(t)$ to be real vector **series which were** jointly covariance-stationary. We however choose to work with univariate $Y(t)$ and $X(t)$ (assumed jointly covariance **stationary**) though the results we prove in **this** paper have **straight forward** generalisations to the vectorial case. The continuous time version of (1) is of course

$$Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(\lambda) X(t-\lambda) d\lambda + e(t) \quad (2)$$

where $b(\lambda)$ may vanish outside a finite range (c, d) .

By taking expectations and then Fourier transform we get the identity

$$f_{yx}(w) = H(w) f_{xx}(w) \quad (3)$$

where $f_{xx}(w)$ is the autospectrum of $X(t)$ and $F_{yx}(w)$ is the cross-spectrum between $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ and $H(w)$ is the frequency response (or transfer) function. Letting the "hat" superscript stand for estimates, a suggested estimate for $b(\lambda)$ in (2) would be

$$\hat{b}(\lambda) = \text{Inverse Fourier Transform of } H(w) = \int_{-CD}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega\lambda) H(w) dw$$

$$= \int_{-CD}^{\infty} \exp(-i\omega\lambda) \left(\hat{f}_{yx}(w) / \hat{f}_{xx}(w) \right) dw$$

For the discrete case the corresponding expression is

$$\hat{b}(j) = (1/2M) \sum_{k=0}^M \delta(k) \hat{H}(w_k) \exp(-1jw_k) \quad (5)$$

$$= 1 \quad k=0 \text{ and } M$$

$$\text{where } \delta(k) = 2, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, M-1$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

where M is the truncation parameter used in the estimation of \hat{f}_{xx} and \hat{f}_{yx} .

The coefficients yielded by (4) and (5) are called Hannan "Inefficient" estimates.

The general conditions for the upholding of the above results are those given by Grenander and Rosenblatt (1957), (Chapter 7). The estimators are inefficient as their variances computed from

$$\text{Var}(\hat{b}(j)) = (1/2TM) \sum_{k=0}^M \delta(k) \{ \hat{f}_{ee}(w_j) / \hat{f}_{xx}(w_j) \} \quad (6)$$

are larger than those of the Best Linear Unbiased estimator .

In (6) T is the length of the record and

$$\hat{f}_{ee}(w_j) = \{ \hat{f}_{yy}(w_j) - \hat{f}_{xx}(w_j) \hat{H}(w_j) \}$$

Note that (6) pertains to the estimators (5) of the discrete system Hannan (1967) has derived "efficient" estimators too. For the system (1) these are defined as

$$\hat{b} = \hat{K}^{-1} \hat{d} \quad (7)$$

where the elements of K are

$$\hat{K}_{rs} = \sum_{j=-M+1}^M \hat{f}_{ee}^{-1}(w_j) \hat{f}_{xx}(w_j) \exp(iw_j(r-s)) \quad r, s = -p \dots q \quad (8)$$

and the elements of d are

$$\hat{d}_r = \sum_{j=-M+1}^M \hat{f}_{ee}^{-1}(w) \hat{f}_{xy}(w_j) \exp(iw_j r) \quad \langle 9 \rangle$$

The efficient and inefficient estimators coincide if the signal to noise ratio $\{ \hat{f}_{xx}(w_j) / \hat{f}_{ee}(w_j) \}$ is constant across the frequencies

w_j . (see Hannan (1967)).

B. Limitations of Hannan's Method

There is one important drawback of Hannan's method. The transfer function $H(\omega)$ defined by (3) presumes that the output series $\{Y(t)\}$ is affected by both past and future values of the input series $\{X(t)\}$, This means **that the system is non-causal** or physically unrealisable. **Many systems in economics are causal with the unidirectional causality established** either from a priori considerations or from the execution of the Granger-Sims type causality tests (Granger(1969), Sims(1972)).

For such cases the lower limits in the summation (1) or the integral (2) are 0. It is a common practice among economists to apply the Hannan's inefficient procedure in this case simply by setting $b(\lambda)=0$ for $\lambda < 0$ in the continuous case (4) (see RATS version 2.0 for example) or by using (5) without modification in the discrete case (see Cargil and Meyer (1972)).

One of the very few analysts to explicitly recognise the inappropriateness of this procedure is Whittle(1963). He hinted at the removal of this anomaly using the classical Wiener-Hopf factorisation but did not present an explicit method applicable in practice. The main problem with the use of the Wiener-Hopf technique in this context are two fold

(1) **Firstly except in the most elementary cases the spectral and cross spectral density functions will rarely be given explicitly as functions of the frequency**

(2) Even if they were so given the Wiener-Hopf factorisation is extremely difficult to achieve in practice (Noble (1950)). In this paper we suggest a method for the problem of estimating the (one sided) distributed lag coefficients in a causal system by a modification of Hannan's procedure. Three advantages are claimed for our method

(1) it is much easier to implement in practice as compared to the Wiener-Hopf method

(2) it does not require the spectral densities to be explicitly expressed as functions of the frequency parameter w and

(3) it can be generalised in a straightforward manner to the case where $\{Y(t)\}$ and $\{X(t)\}$ are non stationary via appeal to Priestley's (1965) concept of the evolutionary spectrum. The plan of this paper is as follows. The next section discusses our method for the continuous case. Sections 3 and 4 are concerned with the estimators in the discrete case and their distributions. Section 5 presents some simulation evidence whereas Section 6 considers the extension of our method to the nonstationary case. Conclusions are gathered in Section 7.

2. CONTINUOUS CAUSAL MODELS

A. The Mellin Integral

The general continuous regression model is as described by (2). We assume that $\{Y(t)\}$ and $\{X(t)\}$ are jointly covariance

stationary and that $\{e(t)\}$ is a white noise process

We define $b_+(\lambda)$ and $b_-(\lambda)$ as follows (Noble (1950)).

$$b_+(\lambda) = b(\lambda), \lambda \geq 0 \quad b_-(\lambda) = b(\lambda), \lambda < 0$$

$$b_+(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda < 0 \quad b_-(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lambda \geq 0$$

Let $H_+(w)$ and $H_-(w)$ denote the Fourier transforms of $b_+(\lambda)$ and $b_-(\lambda)$ so that $H(w) = H_+(w) + H_-(w)$ is, as before, the transfer function of the system (2).

If the system (2) is causal/physically realisable then $b_-(\lambda) = 0$ for all λ and $b(\lambda) = b_+(\lambda)$ for all λ . Thus $H(w) = H_+(w)$. Hence in dealing with causal systems, the estimates $b_+(\lambda)$ can be obtained (analogously to the Hannan method) from the empirical estimate of $H_+(w) = H(w)$

$$\text{Now } H_+(w) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(i\lambda w) b_+(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (10)$$

put $w = ip$ in (10) so that

$$H_+(p) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-p\lambda) b_+(\lambda) d\lambda$$

(11) means of course that $H_+(p)$ is the Laplace transform of $b_+(\lambda)$ (see Sneddon (1951), Pearson (1903) etc). The Laplace transform will exist under the following conditions.

(a) $b_+(\lambda)$ has on every finite interval of the λ -axis at most a finite number of discontinuities of the first kind

(b) \exists non negative constants M and α such that

$$|b_+(\lambda)| \leq M \exp(\alpha\lambda) \quad (12)$$

We assume that the $b(X_t)$ are bounded so that $\alpha = 0$ in (12) and that condition (a) is valid. Under these conditions (11) is

defined for $\text{Re}(p) > 0$ (or $\text{Im}(w) > 0$) and $b_+(\lambda)$ can be obtained by the well known Mellin's Inversion Formula (See Morse and Feshbach (1953), Churchill (1960)).

$$b_+(\lambda) = (1/2\pi i) \int_{x-i\infty}^{x+i\infty} \exp(p\lambda) H_+(p) dp \quad x > 0 \quad (13)$$

Integral (13) exists provided the following conditions are satisfied

- (a) $H_+(p)$ is an analytic function in the domain $\text{Re}(p) > 0$ (i.e. $\text{Im}(w) > 0$)
- (b) $H_+(p)$ tends to zero uniformly in $\arg(p)$ as $|p|$ tends to ∞ and is bounded.
- (c) For all $\text{Re}(p) > 0$ the real integral

$$\int_{x-i\infty}^{x+i\infty} |H_+(p)| dy, \quad x > 0$$

is bounded.

We assume once again that the above conditions are fulfilled. Note that the integrals (13) and (14) are calculated along the straight line $\text{Re}(p) = x$ and are taken in the sense of the principal value. For example, (13) is defined as

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} (1/2\pi i) \int_{x-iA}^{x+iA} \exp(p\lambda) H_+(p) dp$$

It is a well known result in complex analysis that the integrals (13) or (14) do not depend on the choice of x (as long as $x > 0$).

B.computational Consideration

The evaluation of the Mellin integral (13) is an extensively researched field (see eg Erdelyi (1954) ,Krylov (1962) and Pearson(1903))» Two cases may be distinguished -first where $H_+(p)$ is given explicitly as a function of p and second, where it is not so given. Most cases considered in practice naturally fall into the second category.

Case 1 ($H_+(p)$ given explicitly as a function of p)

We present below a result due to Doetsch (1956) adapted to the terminology of our problem.

Theorem (Doetsch): If $H_+(p)$ analytic at the point at infinity (∞) and in the neighbourhood of ∞ has a Laurent expansion

$$H_+(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k p^{-k}) , \text{ then}$$

$$b_+(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [c_k / (k-1)!] \lambda^{k-1} \quad (15)$$

Case 2: $\hat{H}(p)$ not expressed as a function of p +

Doetsch theorem while very powerful in the derivation of theoretical results is rarely suitable for applications since $H_+(p)$ will rarely be explicitly expressed as function of p . \ In practice the values of the transfer function estimate $\hat{H}_+(w)$ will be available at a finite number of points say $w_0 w_1 \dots w_m$ which without loss of generality, we will suppose to be equidistant. We first make the substitution

$p=iw$ to obtain $\hat{H}_+(p)$.

The corresponding points are then p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m

The approximation method that we present is due to Krylov and Skoblya (1977).

Define $l_k(1/p)$ by

$$l_k(1/p) = \prod (p_i^{-1} - p_i^{-1}) \left[\prod (p_k^{-1} - p_i^{-1}) \right]^{-1} \quad (16)$$

The index i in (16) ranges over all integers from 1 to m , with the exception of k .

Theorem (Krylov and Skoblya) Suppose $H_+(p)$ is regular in the half plane $\text{Re}(p) > 0$ and let p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m be a set of equispaced points with $\text{Re}(p_j) > 2a, j=0, 1, \dots, m$ then $b_+(\lambda)$ can be approximated as

$$b_+(\lambda) \approx \sum_{k=0}^m \left(\sum_{j=0}^m a_{kj} \right)^{j-1} \left[\Gamma(j) \right]^{-1} H_+(p_k) \quad (17)$$

where a_{kj} is the coefficient of $(1/p^j)$ in the expansion of $l_k(1/p)$ in powers of $(1/p)$. The approximation converges uniformly to $b_+(X)$ as m tends to ∞ .

The assumptions of the above theorem are easily satisfied for most $H_+(p)$ arising in economics. In the rare case where the function $H_+(p)$ fails to exist or be continuous (say because of a jump in the spectral density of $X(t)$) the points p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m should be taken sufficiently to the right of the discontinuity point. The functions (13) and (17) are easily computable. Of course $\hat{b}_+(\lambda)$ is estimated by replacing

$H_+(p_k)$ by its estimate $\hat{H}_+(p_k)$.

3, DISCRETE CAUSAL MODELS

Consider now the discrete model (1) which we rewrite in the more general form

$$Y(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} b(j) X(t-j) + e(t) \quad (10)$$

subject to the same assumptions as in the continuous case. Our interest is in the causal system for which $b(j)=0$ for $j < 0$. Whittle (1963) has suggested that for such a causal system $b(j)$ ($j=0, 1, 2, \dots$) is given as the coefficient of w^j in the following expression

$$\{1/\sigma^2 G(w)\}^{-1} [f_{yx}(w)/G(w^{-1})]_{+} \quad (19)$$

where

(1) $f_{yx}(w)$ is the cross spectrum between $\{Y(t)\}$ and $\{X(t)\}$

(2) $G(w)$ is the canonical factorisation of the spectral density function $f_{xx}(w)$

(3) $\sigma^2 = \text{var}(e(t))$ and

(4) the subscript $+$ indicates that the bracketed summation is performed over non negative indices only.

The canonical factorisation of $f_{xx}(w)$ has to be based on the following theorem due to Doob (1953) p.160.

Theorem (Doob): spectral density function $f_{xx}(w)$ satisfies the condition

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log\{f_{xx}(w)\}dw > -\infty \quad (20)$$

Then there exists a unique sequence s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots (with s_0 real and positive) and $\sum_{u=0}^{\infty} |q_u|^2 < \infty$ such that the function

$$G(w) = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} q_u w^u \quad (21)$$

has no zeros in the region $0 < |w| < 1$ and provides a factorisation for $f_{xx}(w)$ of the form

$$f_{xx}(w) = \exp(c_0) G(w) G(w^{-1}) \quad (22)$$

where $c_0 = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln\{f_{xx}(w)\}dw$ and $G(0)=1$

The actual evaluation of $G(w)$ can be done along the lines suggested by Priestley (1901) p.734-735. Assume that $\ln\{f_{xx}(w)\}$ is analytic in an annulus $\rho < |w| < 1/\rho$, ($\rho < 1$) and that in this annulus it has a

Laurent expansion

$$\ln\{f_{xx}(w)\} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k w^k \quad (23)$$

The required factorisation of $f_{xx}(w)$ is now provided by $G(w)G(w^{-1})$ where

$$G(w) = \exp\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k w^k\right\}$$

with the coefficients c_k being determined by

$$c_k = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp(ik\omega) \ln\{\hat{f}_{xx}(\omega)\} d\omega \quad (25)$$

The estimators $\hat{b}(j)$ (for the causal system (10) in which $b(j) = 0 \quad i < 0$) are obtained by replacing entities in (19) by their estimates.

4. DISTRIBUTIONAL CONSIDERATIONS (DISCRETE CASE)

The distribution of $b(j)$ in (10) when two sided lags are present has been analysed by Hannan (1967), Wahba(1969) and Brillinger (1975). We now derive the distribution of $b(j)$ for one aided or causal systems by adapting some of their results. Our arguments are developed for the discrete case though the continuous case can be analysed analogously. Following Wahba (1969) we make the following assumptions (All The series $\{X(t)\}$ and $\{Y(t)\}$ are jointly covariance stationary and normal. (A2) Let M be the bandwidth parameter of the windows used for the spectral density estimation. Then $(M/T)(\ln M) \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ where T is the length of the record. Under the above assumptions Goodman(1957) and Wahba (1966) demonstrate that:

(1) $\{H(\omega) - \hat{H}(\omega)\}$ converges in distributions to

$$(2M+1)^{-1} [W_1^c(2M+1, f_{yx}(\omega))] [W_1^c(2M+1, f_{xx}(\omega))]^{-1} \quad (26)$$

where $W_r^c(n, \Sigma)$ denotes the complex Wishart distribution of

dimension r , degrees of freedom n and var-cov matrix Σ (see Srivastava (1965) and Miller (1969)) **and** the other quantities are as defined beforehand

(2.) the estimates $\hat{F}Kw^{\wedge}$ and $H(w_2)$ for w_1, w_2 are independent We **now** turn to the derivation of the distribution of the estimators based on (19). Define

$$\hat{f}_{ee}(w) = \hat{f}_{yx}(w) \{ \hat{f}_{xx}(w) \}^{-1} \hat{f}_{xy}(w) \quad (27)$$

Khatri (1965) has shown that the joint density $g(\hat{f}_{ee}, \hat{H}_1, \hat{f}_{xx})$ can for every w can be factored as $q = F_1 \times F_2 \times F_3$, where

$$F_1 = [\Gamma_1(n-1)]^{-1} |nf_{ee}|^{n-2} |f_{ee}|^{1-n} \exp\{-f_{ee}^{-1}(nf_{ee})\} \quad (20)$$

$$F_2 = \pi^{-1} |nf_{xx}| |f_{ee}|^{-1} \exp\{-(\hat{H}-H)^2 (nf_{xx}) f_{ee}^{-1}\} \quad (29)$$

$$F_3 = [\Gamma_1(n)]^{-1} |nf_{xx}|^{n-1} |f_{xx}|^{-n} \exp\{-n \hat{f}_{xx} f_{xx}^{-1}\} \quad (30)$$

where $n = (T/2M)$ and $T_r(n) = \pi^{\{r(r-1)/2\}} \Gamma(n) \Gamma(n-1) \dots \Gamma(n-r+1)$

From (20) - (30) it follows that f_{ee} is distributed

independently of H **and** f_{xx} . This fact together with the observation that F_3 is **the** complex Wishart distribution of f_{xx} viz $W_1^C(n, f_{xx})$ means that F_2 is the conditional distribution of H given f_{xx} which is seen to be multivariate normal. We can now deduce from this in a straight forward fashion that the distribution of the estimators $b(j)$ based on (19) are asymptotically normal with mean

$$M^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^M H(2\pi v/M) \exp[-2\pi i v j/M] \right\}$$

$$(31) \text{ Further co } \hat{b}(s), \hat{b}(t) \} = n^{-1} M^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^M f_{ee}(2\pi j/M) \hat{f}_{xx}(2\pi j/M) \quad (32)$$

The above results imply that the hypothesis testing can be done in this case without much difficulty. Note that we could have dispensed with the assumption of normality of $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ provided we replace (A2) by the stronger requirement (see Hannan (1965))

$$(A2') \quad (M^2/T) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } T(M)^{-4(\tau+1)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

where $r > 0$ depends on the window used for obtaining the spectral estimate.

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

It was thought that a simulation exercise would throw light on the properties of the estimators that we have proposed in this paper. Henceforth we will call these as modified Hannan estimates. Carqill and Meyer (1974) had conducted an extensive simulation exercise to compare the performance of OLS, Alroon lag and Hannan inefficient estimators. We use their models 1 and 2 to maintain comparability with their analysis and apart from the three methods considered by them also consider the method suggested here.

Model 1:

$$y(t) = 6x(t) + 10x(t-1) + 4x(t-2) - 1x(t-3) - 3x(t-4) - 0.5x(t-5) + u(t)$$

$x(t)$ and $u(t)$ are $IN(0,1)$

Model 2:

$$y(t) = 0.5x(t) + 1x(t-1) + 2x(t-2) + 1x(t-3) + 0x(t-4) + 6x(t-5) + 4x(t-6)$$

$$+ 2x(t-7) + 1x(t-8) + 0.5x(t-9) + u(t) \quad x(t) \text{ and } u(t) \text{ are } IN(0,1)$$

Three sample sizes were considered viz 100, 150 and ,200, and 2000 replications were done in each case. Results for the bias, variance and the mean square error of prediction were computed for the four methods, though Tables 1 to 4 report results only for the Hannan Inefficient method and what we have called as the modified Hannan method (for the sample size 150).

The overall results of our simulation exercise point to the following conclusions

(1) For both models 1 and 2 the modified procedure significantly outperforms Hannan Inefficient procedure in terms of bias, variance and the mean square error of prediction . The difference is more pronounced for model 2 rather than model 1 indicating that the relative advantages of the modified procedure become more effective as the lags in the model increase.

(2) So far as comparison with the OLS methods is concerned if the lag is correctly specified then OLS estimates outperform both the original and the modified Hannan's procedures. However if lags are misspecified then the OLS estimates can be substantially off the mark and their performance can be

well below that of our modified procedure and often below that of Hannan's original procedure. The latter conclusion differs from that of Cargill and Meyer (1974).

(3) AB in the Cargill-Meyer study, the Almon lag procedure **performs poorly.**

(4) **Finally the overall conclusions remain robust across the three sample sizes we have considered.**

While simulation studies can never furnish conclusive evidence, the general outcome of our exercise may be viewed as providing favourable evidence for our method with moderately sized samples*

6. NON-STATIONARY CASE

Suppose now that we drop the assumption of stationarity of $Y(t)$ and $X(t)$ and also allow the distributed lag coefficients $b(X)$ to vary with time. Then analogously to (2) we have the relation

$$Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_t(\lambda) X(t-\lambda) d\lambda + e(t) \quad (33)$$

To analyse the problem of determining $b_t(\lambda)$ we need to resort, to the concept of a time-varying spectrum. Even though several alternative concepts for a time-varying spectrum have been suggested in the literature, the "evolutionary spectrum" introduced by Priestley(1965) and fully elaborated in Priestley (1990), has enjoyed special appeal both because it carries a cognisable physical interpretation and because it

encompasses several of the other approaches as special cases. It is defined for a special class of processes these-called "oscillatory" processes which are nevertheless general enough to include many processes occurring in the real world. (The definitions of evolutionary spectrum and oscillatory processes may be recovered from Priestly(1900)).

For a given set of T observations on $\{X(t)\}$ and $\{Y(t)\}$, the evolutionary spectra $f_{t,xx}(\omega)$ and $f_{t,yy}(\omega)$ may be obtained by using the "double window" technique suggested by Priestley (1988) (see Nachane and Ray for an economic application). The evolutionary cross spectrum $f_{t,xy}(\omega)$ can also be defined by analogy with the stationary case (see Subba Rao and Tong(1972)).

Suppose now that for fixed t, we define

$$H_t(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_t(\lambda) \exp(i\omega\lambda) d\lambda$$

In an important contribution Tong(1971) demonstrated that

$$f_{t,xy}(\omega) \cong H_t(\omega) f_{t,xx}(\omega) \tag{35}$$

This ofcourse, means the $H_t(\omega)$ can be regarded as the time varying generalisation of the transfer function $H(\omega)$ considered earlier. Subba Rao and Tong (1972) now define the time varying estimators $\hat{b}_t(\lambda)$ as inverse Fourier transforms of $\hat{H}_t(\omega)$ for different t.

$$\hat{b}_t(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(i\omega\lambda) \hat{H}_t(\omega) d\omega$$

Our estimators for the causal case can now be derived virtually parallelly from the analysis of Section 2 for each

fixed t (under the same assumptions made therein).

The discrete case can be handled similarly and without too much difficulty. The distribution of such time varying parameters however has proved difficult to derive even for the two-aided case, leave alone the one-sided case of interest to us.

7.CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have suggested a class of estimators for the distributed lag problem which are modifications of those suggested by Hannan(1963). Hannan's Inefficient estimators are important from the applied point of view since they impose virtually no restrictions either on the lag length or the lag structure. However their major limitation is that they postulate a two-sided distributed lag system which is physically unrealisable.

Our method tries to eliminate the above limitation by considering a one-sided or causal system. We present methods to determine estimates in this case for both the continuous and the discrete distributed lag systems. The distribution of the estimates in the latter case turns out to be normal. Our simulation exercise provides considerable support in favour of the new method. Lastly we also show how this method can be generalised to the time-varying case but no distributional results can be presented for this case as of now.

We have exclusively focussed on the situation where both input and output series are scalar series. The generalisation

to the vector case presents no special difficulty -our only **reason** for not presenting the vectorial case here was to Maintain clarity of exposition.The next logical question to pose is **whether** the Hannan Efficient Estimates can be similarly **modified**. **The** answer is in the affirmative but the distributional considerations relating to the estimates are expected to be formidable.

REFERENCES

1. Almon, S (1965): "The Distributed Lag between Capital Appropriations and Expenditures" , *Econometrica* Vol 33 pp.170-196.
2. Brillinger, D.R. (1975): *Time series: Data Analysis and Theory*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York,
3. Carqill, T.F. and R.A. Meyer (1972): " A Spectral Approach to Estimating the Distributed Lag Relationship between Long and Short Term Interest Rates" *International Economic Review* Vol 13 pp.223-230.
4. Cargill, T.F. and R.A. Meyer (1974) "Some Time and Frequency Domain Distributed Lag Estimators) A Comparative Monte Carlo Study" *Econometrica* Vol 42 pp 1031-1044.
5. Churchill, R.V. (1960): *Complex Variables and Applications* (Second Edition) Mcgraw-Hill, New York.
6. Dhryioes, P J. (1972) : *Distributed Lags : Problems, Estimation and Formulation* Holden-Day, San Francisco.
7. Doetsch, G. (1956) *i Anlietung Zum Prakitschen Gebrauch der Laplace Transformation's* .Oldenburg, Munich. O.Doob, J.L. (1953) : *Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York.
9. Brdelyi, A. (ed) (1954) *j Tables of Integral Transforms*. Vols 1 and 2 Mcgraw Hill, New York.
10. Goodman, N.R. (1957): "on the Joint Estimation of the Spectra, Cospectrum and Quadratic Spectrum of the Two Dimensional Stationary Gaussian Process" *Scientific Paper # 10*, Engineering Stastistics Laboratory, New York University.
11. Gradshteyn, I.S. and I.M. Ryzhik (1965): *Table of Series*,

Products and Integrals (Fourth ed) . Academic Press New York.

12.Granger,C.W.J.(1969):"Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross- Spectral Methods" *Econometrica* vol 37 pp.423-433.

13.Grenader,Uand M.Rosenblatt(1957): *Statistical Analysis of Time Series*. Wiley, New York.

14.Hannan,E.J.(1963)"Regression for Time Series" in M.Rosenblatt (ed) *Time Series Analysis* Wiley, New York.

15.Hannan,E.J.(1965):"The Estimation of Relationships involving Distributed Lags" *Econometrica* vol 33 pp.204-224.

16.Hannan,E.J.(1967):"The Estimation of a Lagged Relation" *Biometrika* Vol 54 pp.409-410.

17.Kahtri,C.G.(1965):"Classical Statistical Analysis Based on a Certain Multivariate Complex Gaussian Distribution" *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* Vol 36 pp.98-114.

10.Koyck,L.M.(1954):*Distributed Lags and Investment Analysis* Amsterdam, North Holland.

19,Krylov,V.I.(1962)*Approximate Calculation of Integrals* Macmillan, New York.

20.Krylov,V.I. and N.S.Skoblya (1974): *A Handbook of Methods of Approximate Fourier Transformations and Inversion of the Laplace Transforms* Mir Publishers, Moscow.

21.Miller,K.S.(1969):"Complex Gaussian Processes" *SIAM Review* vol 11 pp. 544-567.

22. Morse,P.M.and H.Feshbach (1953)*Methods of Theoretical Physics* Parts 1 and 2 Mcgraw -Hill, New York.

23. Nachane, D.M. and D. Ray (1993): "Model ling Exchange Rate Dynamics: Hew Perspectives from the Frequency Domain" Journal of Forecasting Vol 12 pp.379-394.
24. Noble, B (1950): Methods based on the Wiener-Hopf Technique Pergamom Press, New York.
25. Pearson, C.E. (1903): Handbook of Applied Mathematics (Second edition) Van Nostrand Reinhold Co, New York.
26. Priestley, M.B. (1965): "Evolutionary Spectra and Non-stationary Processes" Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B Vol 27 pp. 207-237.
27. Priestley, M.B. (1901): Spectral Anlaysis and Time Series Academic Press, New York
28. Priestley, M.B. (1900): Non-linear and Non-stationary Time Series Analysis Academic Press, New York.
29. RATS version 2.0 (1990).
30. Sims, C.A. (1972): "Money, Income and Causality" American Economic Review Vol 62 pp.540-552.
31. Sneddon, I. (1951): Fourier Transforms Mcqraw-Hill, New York.
32. Srivatsava, M.S. (1965): "On the Complex Wishart Distribution" Annals of Mathematical Statistics.
33. Subba Rao, T. and H. Tong (1972): "A Teat for Time Dependence of Linear Open-Loop Systems" Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B Vol 34 pg 235-250.
34. Tong, H. (1971): "On a Definition of Evolutionary Cross-Spectra" Technical Report # 22, Department of Mathematics, UMIST
35. Wahba, G. (1960): "On the Distribution of SOME Statistics

- useful in the Analysis of Jointly Stationary Time Series"
Annals of Mathematical Statistics Vol 39 pp. 1049-1062.
- 36.Wahba,G.(1969):"Estimation of the Coefficients in a
Distributed Lag Model" Econometrica Vol 37 pp. 390-407.
- 37.Whittle,P.(1903):Prediction and Regulation by Linear Least
Squares Methods. Basil Blackwell, Oxford.

The aim of this series is to publish the research findings of the members of the faculty of the Department of Economics, University of Bombay.

The List of Paper Already Published

- 1) Spectral Analysis of Non-Stationary Time Series by D.M. Nachane. Paper no. September 95/1.
- 2) Chaotic Economic Dynamics and Business Cycles by M.J. Manohar Rao. Paper no. November 95/2.
- 3) Farmers and Rulers : State Intervention in the 19th Century Deccan Country-side by Neeraj Hatekar. Paper no. November 95/3.
- 4) Does Chaos Imply Control ? A Reaction to the Lucas Critique by M.J. Manohar Rao, Paper no. December 95/4.
- 5) A Modified Hannan Inefficient Procedure For causal Systems by D.M. Nachane, Paper no. January 96/5.

For Correspondence:

Neeraj Hatekar,

Editor, Working Papers,

Department of Economics, University of Bombay,

Vidyanagari, Kalina, Santacruz (East)

Bombay -98.